



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,736	11/21/2005	Takaki Sugimoto	58346US005 6659	
32692 • 3M INNOVAT	7590 11/14/2007 FIVE PROPERTIES COMF	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			BODAWALA, DIMPLE N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1791		
ı			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/537,736	SUGIMOTO, TAKAKI	SUGIMOTO, TAKAKI		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Dimple N. Bodawala	1791			

·	Dimple N. Bodawala	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2 and 20-22</u> .		·	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		- t' £ A 1 31	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by (See attached sheet). 	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: (See attached sheet). 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Home	W
		AN MAINTENANT PATE TECHNOLOGY DE	
•			

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The proposed amendment filed on October 29, 2007 after a final rejection will not be entered because the amendment discloses new claims which raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Specifically, the amendment discloses new claims 23-25 have incorporated the new terminology that the topography feature of a flexible mold comprises lithium salt of an organic fluorine compound is selected from the group consisting of CF3SO3Li, (CnF2n+1SO2)2NLi wherein n is 1, LiSO3C2F4SO3Li, CF3CO2Li, (CF3CO)2NLi, (CF3SO2)3CLi, and (CF3SO2)2CFLi, or n is an integer of 1 or 2, or n is 2.

The features of the flexible mold have not previously been defined as comprising such features, which is described above. Therefore, reconsideration of the art of record, as well as possible consideration of other art would necessary to determine if the new claim language was not taught or suggested by the prior art.

Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment have been considered, but not found persuasive. The arguments relating to the features of the

Application/Control Number: 10/537,736

Art Unit: 1791

flexible mold according to the proposed amendment are moot, as the amendment will not be entered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dimple N. Bodawala whose telephone number is (571) 272-6455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday at 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Number: 10/537,736

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DNB

SUMINISORY PATERS ENLEMINE

Page 4